FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone (703) 518–6304.

### Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.
[FR Doc. 98–4606 Filed 2–18–98; 5:04 pm]
BILLING CODE 7535–01–M

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR 4, "Nondiscrimination in Federally

Assisted Commission Programs."
2. Current OMB approval number:

3150–0053.

3 How often the collection is

3. How often the collection is required: Occasionally.

4. Who is required or asked to report: Recipients of Federal financial assistance provided by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

5. The number of annual respondents:

6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 8 hours annually (.27 hours per recordkeeper).

7. Abstract: Recipients of NRC financial assistance provide data to demonstrate assurance to NRC that they are in compliance with nondiscrimination regulations and policies.

Submit, by April 24, 1998, comments that address the following questions:

- 1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
  - 2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
- 3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
- 4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (http://www.nrc.gov) under the FedWorld Collection link on the home page tool bar. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Jo. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T–6 F33, Washington, DC, 20555–0001, or by telephone at 301–415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail at BJS1@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of February, 1998.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 98–4487 Filed 2–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc., et al.

[Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364]

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed no Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–2 and NPF–8, issued to the Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC), Inc., et al. (the licensee) for operation of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Houston County, Alabama.

The proposed amendments would revise the Technical Specifications (TSs) by relocating the reactor coolant system (RCS) pressure and temperature limits from the TSs to the proposed Pressure Temperature Limits Report in accordance with the guidance provided by Generic Letter 96–03, "Relocation of the Pressure Temperature Limit Curves and Low Temperature Overpressure Protection System Limits." TS 3.4.10.3 would be revised to require that two residual heat removal system suction relief valves be operable or that the RCS

be vented at RCS indicated cold leg temperatures less than or equal to 325 "F. In addition, a new TS would be added to limit the operation of more than one reactor coolant pump below 110 "F.

The July 23, 1997, application was previously noticed in the Federal **Register** on September 10, 1997 (62 FR 47699). In addition, the December 18, 1997, supplement provided additional information that revised the original licensee's evaluation of the no significant hazards consideration and, therefore, was noticed in the Federal Register on January 14, 1998 (63 FR 2281). The February 12, 1998, supplement provided additional information that revised the licensee's evaluation of the no significant hazards consideration. Therefore, renotification of the Commission's proposed determination of no significant hazards consideration is necessary.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed removal of the Reactor Coolant System (RCS) pressure temperature (P-T) limits from the Technical Specifications (TSs) and relocation to the proposed Pressure Temperature Limits Report (PTLR) in accordance with the guidance provided by Generic Letter (GL) 96-03 is administrative in that the requirements for the P-T limits are unchanged. The P–T limits proposed for inclusion in the PTLR are based on the fluence associated with 2775 MW thermal power and operation through 21.9 effective full power years (EFPY) for Unit 1 and 33.8 EFPY for Unit 2. GL 96-